



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/643,685	08/24/2000	Michinori Hirota	36595:165847	2576

26694 7590 09/08/2003

VENABLE, BAETJER, HOWARD AND CIVILETTI, LLP
P.O. BOX 34385
WASHINGTON, DC 20043-9998

EXAMINER

CHERUBIN, YVESTE GILBERTE

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3713

DATE MAILED: 09/08/2003

16

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.

09/643,685

Applicant(s)

HIROTA, MICHINORI

Examiner

Yveste G. Cherubin

Art Unit

3713

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED on August 11, 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]

- a) ☒ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- b) ☐ The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
- ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

1. ☐ A Notice of Appeal was filed on _____. Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. ☒ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
- (a) ☒ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
- (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
- (c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
- (d) ☐ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.

3. ☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
4. ☐ Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: _____.
6. ☐ The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. ☒ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☒ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed: _____.

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: 3-10.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is a) ☐ approved or b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
9. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s). _____.
10. ☐ Other: _____

T. Walberg
Teresa Walberg
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3700

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicants added limitations that were not previously recited which will require further search. The reference to Okada still meets the stop control recited in claim 10. Further, Applicant's request to withdraw the finality has been taken in consideration. However, the finality still stands. In Paper No. 10, August 13, 2002, the Applicants filed a response which contains new subject matter. In response to that communication, the Examiner submitted a final rejection in which she objected to claims 8 and 10. On Paper No. 13, the Applicants submitted an after final in the attempt to get the claims allowed. The after final (Paper No. 13) was not entered because while reviewing the application, the Examiner realized that the objected claims 8 and 10 in the prior office action was misinterpreted and could have been rejected using the arts of record. The Examiner then withdrew the final office action and submitted another final office action in which claims 8 and 10 got properly rejected. With the withdrawal/cancellation of the first final office action (Paper No. 12), note that the Examiner is responding to the amendment submitted/filed by Applicant in Paper No. 10 which contains the new matter. With that said, the Examiner believes that the final office action was appropriate since it was submitted as a response to the amendment filed by Applicant which contains new matter. By that action, the response time was restarted, giving the applicant ample time to formulate an appropriate response.